arXiv:1107.4068v4 [nucl-th] 20 Sep 2011

The $(\mathbf{J_{max}}+1)/2$ rule: isomerism involving 4 nucleons in T = 1 states

L. Zamick*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, USA

It is noted that in a calculation with 4 nucleons with isospin 1 in a single j shell ($f_{7/2}$, $g_{9/2}$, $h_{11/2}$) the state with median angular momentum $J=(J_{max}+1)/2$ lies very low in energy becoming either an isomeric state or a ground state. Also states with $J=J_{max}$ are isomeric for the orbits $g_{9/2}$ and $h_{11/2}$. Experiments, where available support the simple calculations.

PACS numbers: 21.60.Cs

I. INTRODUCTION

In this work we develop a rule based on interesting hehaviours of nuclear spectra, or to be more precise spectra of 4 nucleon states with isospin $T=1$ in odd-odd nuclei. Such states consist of either three protons and one neutron or three neutrons and one proton; also three proton holes and one neutron hole or three neutron holes and one proton hole. We find in single j shell calculations that in the $f_{7/2}$, $g_{9/2}$, and $h_{11/2}$ shells states with median total angular momentum $J=(J_{max}+1)/2$ lie low in energy and become isomeric. Although these calculations are relatively simple – not large scale – they are supported by experiment with the one qualification that sometimes these low-lying states become ground states. They are still long-lived and for purposes of this work we will also call them isomeric. We note that J_{max} is equal to M_{max}. For 3 neutrons the maximum value of M is $j+(j-1)+(j-2)$ and for the single proton it is j. Thus J_{max} is equal to $(4j-3)$ whilst $(J_{max}+1)/2$ is equal to (2j-1) . To briefly summarise the findings we note that for the three shells listed above the values of J_{max} are 11, 15, and 19, respectively. Thus the $(J_{max}+1)/2$ rule gives values of 6, 8, and 10 for the lying isomeric states.

II. THE $\mathbf{f_{7/2}}$ SHELL – ^{44}Sc AND ^{52}Mn

We start with the $f_{7/2}$ shell where single j shell calculations have already been performed by Zamick, Escuderos, and Bayman [1]. The interaction used consists of matrix elements taken from experiment - more precisely from the spectrum of ^{42}Sc and ^{42}Ca. We then examine the calculated yrast spectrum of ^{44}Sc. We consider two groups. First for $J=6$, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1, the energies in MeV are respectively 0.38, 1.28, 0.71, 0.76, 0.00, and 0.43 (there is no $J=0; T=1$ state in this model space). We see that the only states below the $J=6$ state are $J=2$ and 1. Thus, the lowest multipolaries for decay are E4 and M5. The $J=6$ state is calculated to be isomeric. For the second group with $J=11$, 10, 9, 8, and 7, the energies in MeV are respectively 4.63, 4.79, 3.38, 3.10, and 1.27. The $J^{\pi}=11^{+}$ state can decay via an E2 transition to the $J^{\pi}=9^{+}$ state so it should not be isomeric.

We now look at experiment. In ^{44}Sc the lowest $J^{\pi}=6^{+}$ state has a half-life of 58.6 hours - it is indeed isomeric. But we should also consider the cross-conjugate nucleus ^{52}Mn consisting of three proton holes and one neutron hole relative to ^{56}Ni. We see that here the $J^{\pi}=6^{+}$ state is the ground state with a half-life of 5.591 days. The state is certainly low lying.

There is some indication that in heavier nuclei the state with $J=J_{max}$ should be isomeric. However, the $J^{\pi}=11^{+}$ state at 3.57 MeV in ^{44}Sc has a half-life of 48 ps whilst the corresponding $J^{\pi}=11^{+}$ state in ^{52}Mn at 3.84 MeV has a half-life of only 15.1 ps.

III. THE $\mathbf{g_{9/2}}$ SHELL -^{84}NB AND ^{96}AG

In previous work with Escuderos [2], calculations were performed in the $g_{9/2}$ shell where the empahasis was on partial dynamical symmetries. However, many residual calculations were lying around which had not been carefully examined. In a talk at the Weizmann post-NPA5 workshop, Nara Singh [3] reported the finding by his group of a $J^{\pi}=16^{+}$ isomeric state in ^{96}Cd which beta decayed to a $J^{\pi}=15^{+}$ state in ^{96}Ag, that is also isomeric. This largely stimulated the work done here on isomerism. We also note a combination of experiment and shell-model calculations by K. Schmidt *et al.* [4] and L. Batist *et al.* [5]. The topics addressed in these works are decay properties of very neutron-deficient isotopes of silver and cadmium - also isomerism in ^{96}Ag.

We now show results of a single j-shell calculation ($j=g_{9/2}$) using a quadrupole-quadrupole interaction ($Q.Q$) for the energies of ^{96}Ag. The strength of the interaction is such that the $J=2-J=0$ splitting for 2 nucleons is 0.24 MeV. We again give the results for two groups. For $J=8$, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1, the energies in MeV are 0.20, 1.30, 1.20, 0.92, 0.62, 0.55, 0.13, and 0.00. We see that the only states with energies less than that of the $J=8$ state in this calculation have angular momenta $J=2$ and 1. So certainly the $J^{\pi}=8^{+}$ state is isomeric.

In the second group, we have $J=15$, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, and 9, and the energies in MeV are 2.49, 3.09, 2.53, 2.58, 1.96, 1.54, and 0.88. Thus the states below the $J^{\pi}=15^{+}$ state have $J=11$, 10, and 9. The decay can occur only

---

[*] Electronic address: lzamick@physics.rutgers.edu

via E4 or M5 transitions. The $J^\pi = 15^+$ state is certainly isomeric in this calculation.

Concerning experiment for the first group, the results shown in the Nuclear Data Tables are a bit ambiguous. Shown are 3 candidates for the angular momentum of the ground state with $J^\pi = 2^+$, 8^+, and most improbable (15^+, 13^-). However in Refs. [4, 5], only the $J^\pi = 2^+$ and $J^\pi = 8^+$ isomers are shown. They are nearly degenerate, with respective half-lives of 6.9(6) s and 4.40(6) s. We see that also in this shell the $(J_{max}+1)/2$ rule is verified.

For the second group, we find that unlike in the $f_{7/2}$ shell, here in $g_{9/2}$ our calculation leads to an isomeric state for $J = J_{max}$ and this supports the experimental findings of Nara Singh [3]. We note in our second group a calculations there is a near degnreacy of the $J = 15^+$ and 13^+ states. We now refer to the experimental works of Grzywacz *et al.* [6] and Grawe *et al.* [7]. The latter work also includes large-scale shell-model calculations and points out that there are many spin-gap states in the ^{100}Sn region. A near degeneracy of the two states in ^{96}Ag is shown in Fig. 1 of Grawe *et al.*, however with the $J = 13^+$ state ever so slightly below the $J = 15^+$ state.

IV. THE $\mathbf{h_{11/2}}$ SHELL

We include here results for the $h_{11/2}$ shell. Again a $Q.Q$ interaction is used with strength such that the $J=2$–$J=0$ splitting for 2 nucleons is 0.244 MeV. No comparison with experiment can be made but we want to show that the pattern of behavior of the previous shells persists here as well. The energies in MeV for the first group for $J = 10$, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1 are respectively 0.21, 1.30, 1.58, 1.33, 1.03, 0.75, 0.49, 0.28, 0.11, and 0.00. Only states with $J = 2$ and 1 lie below the $J = 10$ state in this calculation. This fits in very nicely with the $(J_{max}+1)/2$ rule. For the second group with angular momenta $J = 19$, 18, 17, 16, 15, 14, 13, 12, and 11, the energies in MeV are respectively 2.43, 3.11, 2.85, 3.08, 2.66, 2.47, 1.97, 1.46, and 0.85. The highest-spin state below the $J^\pi = 19^+$ state in this calculation has $J^\pi = 13^+$. Thus the $J^\pi = 19^+$ state is predicted to be isomeric.

V. LIGHTER NUCLEI-^{20}F,^{24}NA AND ^{8}LI

The nucleus ^{20}F is a special case. Here $(J_{max}+1)/2$ is equal to 4. Clearly this state can readily decay to the lower $J^\pi = 2^+$ state. Experimentally the lowest 3 states are: $J^\pi = 2^+$ (ground), $J^\pi = 3^+$ at 0.656 MeV, and $J^\pi = 4^+$ at 0.823 MeV. Despite two decay channels being open, the half-life of the 4^+ state is surprisingly long at 55 ps. The next longest half-life listed is 1.36 ps for the $J^\pi = 1^-$ state at 0.984 MeV. For light nuclei such as this one the jj-coupling approximation is not good. It is understadable that when $(\mathrm{J}_{max}+1)/2$ differs from the ground state spin by only 2 units the isomerism is no longer present. In the cross-conjugate nucleus ^{24}Na the J=4 state is the ground state and is isomeric with a lifetime of 14.997 hr.

For ^{8}Li the value of $(\mathrm{J}_{max}+1)/2$) is 2. This corresponds to the ground state which is of course isomeric with a half-life of 839.9 msec. This nucleus is its own cross-congugate.

VI. EXPLANATIONS OF THE ISOMERISMS

We have admittedly done some very simple calculations, but that is the point. One should do such calculations to search for interesting behaviors. Later one can supplement these with more detailed calculations. The simple calculations are useful when effects are large as in the case of the $(J_{max}+1)/2$ rule.

A key to understanding the isomerisms coes from the works of Gallagher-Moszkowski [8] . They developed a scheme for obtaining and predicting the ground state spins of odd-odd nuclei. Briefly stated the value of the total angular momentum is predicted to be (Ω_p+ Ω_n) where Ω is the component of the angular momentum along the symmetry axis. We can make a connection with this by noting that for all the heavier members of the cross conjugate pairs in the previous sections the ground states have J values equal to $(\mathrm{J}_{max}+1)/2$ and furthemore this is the same as $(\Omega_n + \Omega_p)$. In more detail the lighter members of the cross-conjugate pair have 1 proton withΩ_n =1/2 and 3 neutrons with Ω_p=3/2. This leads to a ground state spin J=2 which is verified experimentally for all nuclei here considered with the qualification that the spin is not yet known for ^{84}Nb. In the tables 3 possibilities are listed (1+,2+,3+). To form a cross-congugate nucleus we replace a proton by a neutron-hole and a neutron by a proton hole. Thus one proton becomed 2j neutrons and 3 neutrons becomes (2j-2) protons. The vaule of Ω is then (j+(j-1)) = (2j-1), and this is also $(\mathrm{J}_{max}+1)/2$.

To complete the argument we note that in the single j shell model a nucleus and its cross conjugate partner should have identical spectra. This is not the case experimentally. The lighter members have J=2 ground states and the heavier ones J=(2j-1) ground states. As far as the isomerism rule is concerend there is no problem with the heavier members of the cross-congugate pairs.We wpuld argue that for the lighter members of the cross-congugate pairs the shell effects are present which,althoug not trong enough to maintain identical spectra with their partners, are nevertheless strong enough the keep the $(\mathrm{J}_{max}+1)/2$ states sufficiently low as to be isomeric.

The spectra of six nucleons with isospin $T = 2$ is quite different from that of four nucleons . As calculated in Ref. [1], there are 5 nearly degenerate low-lying states in ^{46}Sc with total angular momenta $J = 2$, 3, 4, 5, and 6, all with positive parity. The respective calculated energies in MeV are 0.00 (ground), 0.0987, 0.0064, 0.2805, and 0.0355. Despite these diferences the $(\mathrm{J}_{max}+1/2)$ rule also applies. for ^{46}Sc and ^{50}V. The half-lives of the 6+ states are respectively 9.4μs and 1.4 *10^{17} years (ground state).

In the $g_{9/2}$ region the J=8^+state of ^{94}Rh(5 proton-holes and one neutron- hole (relative to Z=50, N=50) has a half-life of 25.2 s. Here though $(J_{max}+1)/2 = 9$. The half-life of the 9+ state has not been measured. The valus 9 comes from the fact that there are staes with J=23/2 and 25/2 for the 5 protons (not present for 3 protons). They have seniority 5. If we limit ourselves to seniority 3 states then $(J_{max}+1)/2$ is 8.

Acknowledgments

The author benefited from attending the above mentioned Weizmann workshop. He was supported as a visiting professor at the Weizmann Institute by a Morris Belkin award. He thanks Diego Torres for his help in preparing this manuscript and for his critcal suggestions.

[1] A. Escuderos, L. Zamick, and B. F. Bayman, "Wave functions in the $f_{7/2}$ shell, for educational purposes and ideas", `http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0506050`.
[2] A. Escuderos and L. Zamick, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 044302 (2006).
[3] B. S. Nara Singh, `http://www.weizmann.ac.il/conferences/NPA5/workshop`.
[4] K. Schmidt *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **624**, 185 (1997).
[5] L. Batist *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **720**, 245 (2003).
[6] R. Grzywacz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **55**, 1126 (1997).
[7] H. Grawe, A. Blazhev, M. Gorska, R. Grzywacz, H. Mach, and I. Mukha, Eur. Phys. J. A **27**, s01, 257 (2006).
[8] C. J. Gallagher and S. A. Moszkowski, Phys. Rev. **111**, 1282 (1958).